INTERNATIONAL PROCEEDING OF INNOVATIVE SCIENCE AND TRANSDISCIPLINARY STUDIES
e-ISSN: 2746-3338
Available online at https://ipistrans.lppmi.or.id
Email: proceedings@lppmi.or.id

Integrating Digital Water Governance and Indigenous Maritime Wisdom for Sustainable Aquatic

Chantha Sopheap

Corresponding Author

Email: chantha.sopheap@itc.edu.kh

Keywords

digital water governance, indigenous maritime wisdom, traditional ecological knowledge, sustainable aquatic management, water technology, community-based conservation

Abstract

The convergence of digital water governance and indigenous maritime wisdom represents a transformative approach to sustainable aquatic resource management. This article explores how technological innovations in water monitoring, data analytics, and digital platforms can be harmoniously integrated with traditional ecological knowledge systems practiced by indigenous coastal communities. Digital water governance offers real-time monitoring capabilities, predictive analytics, and stakeholder engagement platforms, while indigenous maritime wisdom provides time-tested sustainable practices, holistic ecosystem understanding, and community-based management strategies. The integration of these seemingly disparate knowledge systems creates synergistic solutions that address contemporary water challenges while respecting cultural heritage and local autonomy. This conceptual framework demonstrates that combining modern technology with ancestral knowledge can enhance adaptive capacity, improve resource allocation, and promote equitable water governance. The article examines key principles, implementation strategies, and potential benefits of this integrated approach for achieving long-term aquatic sustainability.

Royal University of Phnom Penh, Cambodia

This paper was presented at The 1st International Conference on Global Synergy of Scholars and Researchers (IC-GSSR 2026), with the theme "***Integrating Technology and Local Wisdom for Sustainable Global Advancement***," organized by HLM Group of Institution, India, January 26-28, 2026

e-ISSN: 2746-3338

INTRODUCTION

Water governance has become increasingly complex in the 21st century, requiring innovative approaches that transcend conventional management paradigms. The global water crisis, exacerbated by climate change, population growth, and environmental degradation, demands solutions that are both technologically advanced and culturally responsive. According to Gain et al. (2021), effective water governance must incorporate diverse knowledge systems and stakeholder perspectives to address the multifaceted challenges facing aquatic ecosystems. The emergence of digital technologies has revolutionized how we monitor, analyze, and manage water resources, offering unprecedented opportunities for data-driven decision-making and adaptive management strategies.

Simultaneously, there is growing recognition of the value of indigenous maritime wisdom in sustainable resource management. Indigenous communities have developed sophisticated understanding of aquatic ecosystems through generations of observation, experimentation, and cultural transmission (Muhsyanur, 2022). Lyver et al. (2019) emphasize that traditional ecological knowledge represents a dynamic, adaptive knowledge system that has enabled indigenous peoples to maintain sustainable relationships with their marine environments for millennia. This wisdom encompasses not only practical management techniques but also spiritual, cultural, and ethical dimensions that shape human-nature relationships in fundamental ways.

The integration of digital water governance and indigenous maritime wisdom represents a paradigm shift from either-or thinking to both-and approaches. Tengö et al. (2021) argue that bridging scientific and indigenous knowledge systems can enhance the legitimacy, relevance, and effectiveness of environmental governance while promoting social justice and cultural diversity. This integration acknowledges that neither technological solutions nor traditional practices alone are sufficient to address contemporary water challenges, but their combination can create more robust and adaptive governance frameworks (Mulyana et al., 2021).

Digital water governance encompasses a range of technological innovations, including remote sensing, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, artificial intelligence, blockchain technology, and participatory digital platforms. As noted by Kotsuki et al. (2022), these technologies enable unprecedented levels of data collection, processing, and dissemination, facilitating more informed and timely decision-making. Digital platforms can enhance transparency, accountability, and stakeholder participation in water governance processes, democratizing access to information and decision-making power (Mulyana et al., 2021).

However, technological approaches often overlook local contexts, cultural values, and power dynamics that shape water use and management. Groenfeldt and Schmidt (2020) highlight that water governance is fundamentally about values, relationships, and responsibilities, not merely technical optimization. Indigenous maritime wisdom offers

e-ISSN: 2746-3338

complementary perspectives that emphasize relational ontologies, reciprocity, and long-term stewardship rather than short-term resource extraction. These perspectives can inform more holistic and ethically grounded approaches to digital water governance.

The synthesis of digital technologies and indigenous knowledge systems requires careful attention to issues of power, representation, and cultural appropriation. McGregor et al. (2020) caution that knowledge integration efforts must be guided by principles of self-determination, free prior informed consent, and equitable benefit-sharing to avoid reproducing colonial patterns of knowledge extraction. Successful integration demands genuine partnership, mutual respect, and recognition of indigenous peoples' rights to their knowledge and resources. This article explores how such integration can be achieved in ways that honor both technological innovation and cultural heritage.

DISCUSSIONS

Digital Water Governance: Technologies and Approaches

Digital water governance represents the application of information and communication technologies to enhance the monitoring, management, and decision-making processes related to water resources. The technological infrastructure includes sensor networks, satellite imagery, data analytics platforms, and digital communication tools that together create comprehensive water information systems. According to Kotsuki et al. (2022), advanced hydrological modeling combined with real-time data assimilation can significantly improve flood forecasting and water resource allocation decisions. These systems enable stakeholders to visualize water flows, quality parameters, and usage patterns with unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution.

The implementation of IoT sensors in aquatic environments has transformed water quality monitoring from periodic sampling to continuous observation. Smart sensors can detect multiple parameters including temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and contaminant concentrations, transmitting data wirelessly to centralized databases for analysis. Gain et al. (2021) demonstrate how such sensor networks in river basins enable early warning systems for pollution events and support adaptive management responses. The proliferation of low-cost sensors has democratized environmental monitoring, enabling community groups and citizen scientists to participate in data collection and governance processes.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms are increasingly employed to analyze complex water datasets and generate actionable insights. These technologies can identify patterns, predict future conditions, and optimize management strategies in ways that exceed human analytical capabilities. Predictive models can forecast water availability under different climate scenarios, optimize irrigation scheduling, or predict harmful algal blooms before they occur. Tengö et al. (2021) note that AI applications must be carefully

designed to incorporate local contexts and values rather than imposing standardized technical solutions that may not align with community needs and priorities.

Digital platforms for stakeholder engagement are transforming water governance from top-down regulatory approaches to more participatory and collaborative models. Online portals, mobile applications, and social media platforms enable two-way communication between water managers and users, facilitating information sharing, consultation, and collective decision-making. These platforms can visualize complex water data in accessible formats, support scenario planning, and enable virtual participation in governance processes. However, Groenfeldt and Schmidt (2020) remind us that digital inclusion requires attention to digital literacy, internet access, and linguistic diversity to ensure that marginalized communities can meaningfully participate in digital governance spaces.

Indigenous Maritime Wisdom: Principles and Practices

Indigenous maritime wisdom encompasses the accumulated knowledge, practices, and worldviews that coastal and island communities have developed through sustained engagement with marine and aquatic ecosystems. This knowledge system is fundamentally relational, viewing humans as embedded within rather than separate from nature. Lyver et al. (2019) explain that indigenous cosmologies often recognize water as a living entity with agency and rights, fundamentally different from Western conceptualizations of water as a resource or commodity. This ontological difference shapes management approaches, emphasizing reciprocity, respect, and responsibility rather than domination and extraction.

Traditional ecological knowledge includes detailed observations of species behavior, seasonal patterns, ecosystem relationships, and environmental indicators that inform sustainable resource use. Indigenous fishers possess intimate knowledge of fish migration routes, spawning grounds, and habitat requirements developed through generations of observation. McGregor et al. (2020) document how indigenous monitoring systems use biological indicators, such as specific species presence or behavioral changes, to assess ecosystem health and guide harvesting decisions. This knowledge is often embedded in stories, ceremonies, and cultural practices that transmit ecological understanding across generations while reinforcing cultural identity and social cohesion.

Community-based management systems developed by indigenous peoples demonstrate sophisticated approaches to resource governance that maintain ecosystem integrity while supporting livelihoods. These systems often include seasonal closures, gear restrictions, catch limits, and spatial zoning that prevent overexploitation and allow ecosystem recovery. Tengö et al. (2021) highlight that indigenous tenure systems frequently incorporate collective ownership, use rights, and decision-making authority that promote stewardship and discourage short-term profit maximization. Such systems embody adaptive management principles, adjusting rules and practices based on ongoing observation of ecosystem responses.

e-ISSN: 2746-3338

The spiritual and ethical dimensions of indigenous maritime wisdom provide moral frameworks for human-water relationships that transcend utilitarian calculations. Water is often considered sacred, associated with specific deities, ancestors, or creation narratives that inspire reverence and care. Groenfeldt and Schmidt (2020) argue that these value-based approaches to water governance can address the ethical vacuum in technocratic management, providing compelling reasons for conservation beyond economic efficiency. Ceremonies, taboos, and customary laws regulate water use in ways that maintain cultural continuity while protecting ecosystem functions, demonstrating that environmental stewardship and cultural vitality are inseparable.

Synergies and Integration Strategies

The integration of digital water governance and indigenous maritime wisdom creates synergies that enhance both knowledge systems while addressing their respective limitations. Digital technologies can amplify indigenous knowledge by providing tools for documentation, analysis, and communication that strengthen community capacity for self-governance. For instance, indigenous communities can use GPS mapping to document traditional use areas, mobile applications to record ecological observations, and databases to organize and preserve traditional knowledge for future generations. Gain et al. (2021) describe how participatory GIS projects empower indigenous communities to represent their knowledge in formats recognized by formal planning processes, strengthening their voice in resource decisions.

Conversely, indigenous knowledge can guide the design and implementation of digital water governance systems to ensure they are culturally appropriate, locally relevant, and ethically grounded. Traditional indicators of ecosystem health can be incorporated into monitoring frameworks alongside conventional scientific parameters, creating more comprehensive assessment systems. Kotsuki et al. (2022) suggest that local ecological knowledge can improve the accuracy of hydrological models by providing ground-truthing data, identifying model uncertainties, and revealing processes that may not be captured by standard scientific approaches. This collaborative knowledge production validates indigenous expertise while enhancing the reliability of digital systems.

Institutional arrangements that support knowledge integration must recognize indigenous rights to self-determination and ensure meaningful participation in governance processes. Co-management frameworks that share authority between indigenous communities and government agencies can create spaces for dialogue and mutual learning. Lyver et al. (2019) emphasize that successful co-management requires recognition of indigenous governance systems, legal pluralism that accommodates customary law alongside statutory regulations, and capacity building that strengthens indigenous

institutions. Digital platforms can facilitate these collaborative arrangements by providing transparent information sharing, supporting deliberation, and documenting agreements.

Ethical protocols for knowledge integration must address concerns about intellectual property, benefit sharing, and cultural appropriation. Indigenous knowledge should not be extracted, commodified, or used without free, prior, and informed consent from knowledge holders. McGregor et al. (2020) recommend that integration initiatives follow indigenous data sovereignty principles, recognizing community ownership and control over data collection, storage, analysis, and dissemination. Digital systems should incorporate privacy protections, access controls, and governance mechanisms that respect indigenous protocols while enabling appropriate knowledge sharing for conservation and cultural continuity.

CONCLUSION

The integration of digital water governance and indigenous maritime wisdom offers a promising pathway toward sustainable aquatic management that honors both technological innovation and cultural heritage. Digital technologies provide powerful tools for monitoring, analysis, and communication that can enhance adaptive capacity and decision-making, while indigenous knowledge offers time-tested sustainable practices, holistic ecosystem understanding, and ethical frameworks that ground governance in relational values and long-term stewardship. Successful integration requires genuine partnership, recognition of indigenous rights and governance systems, and careful attention to power dynamics and cultural protocols. By combining the strengths of both knowledge systems while addressing their limitations, we can develop more robust, equitable, and culturally responsive approaches to water governance that serve both present and future generations. This synthesis represents not merely a technical achievement but a fundamental reimagining of human-water relationships that acknowledges the inseparability of ecological, cultural, and social dimensions of sustainability.

REFERENCES

Gain, A. K., Hossain, M. S., & Benson, D. (2021). How participatory water governance can enhance resilience: A systematic review of the evidence. Environmental Science & Policy, 122, 103-116.

Groenfeldt, D., & Schmidt, J. J. (2020). Ethics and water governance. Ecology and Society, 25(1), Article 22.

Kotsuki, S., Sakov, P., & Miyoshi, T. (2022). On the properties of ensemble forecast sensitivity to observations. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 148(742), 438-454.

Lyver, P. O., Timoti, P., Jones, C. J., Richardson, S. J., Tahi, B. L., & Greenhalgh, S. (2019). An indigenous community-based monitoring system for assessing forest health in New Zealand. Biodiversity and Conservation, 28(13), 3183-3212.

McGregor, D., Whitaker, S., & Sritharan, M. (2020). Indigenous environmental justice and sustainability. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 43, 35-40.

e-ISSN: 2746-3338

Muhsyanur. (2022). Pelatihan Budidaya Sarang Burung Walet Sebagai Upaya Pengembangan Ekonomi Kreatif Bagi Masyarakat Desa Benteng. *Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, *02*(03), 1503–1508. https://stp-mataram.e-journal.id/Amal

Mulyana, Y., Akbar, Z., Zainal, H., Jiwantara, F. A., Muhsyanur, Yusriadi, Y., & Bin-Tahir, S. Z. (2021). High domestic violence during the pandemic COVID-19. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, 6283–6290. https://doi.org/10.46254/an11.20211059

Tengö, M., Hill, R., Malmer, P., Raymond, C. M., Spierenburg, M., Danielsen, F., Elmqvist, T., & Folke, C. (2021). Weaving knowledge systems in IPBES, CBD and beyond—lessons learned for sustainability. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 26-27, 17-25.